Department/Service	Childre	en and Families	Equality Impact Assessment			
Ref	CHI (AA	<b>A)</b>	Officer responsible for the assessment	Rob Hyde		
Church of England Pri		xpansion of Mobberley imary from 140 school 10 school places (1FE) additional 70 school	Start date of assessment	28 Sept 2012		
Are there are any other policies or procedures associated or linked with this one.		<ul> <li>Children and Families Department Capital Strategy 2012/2013</li> <li>Statutory consultation is required for this proposal as the changes, if approved, will fall within the category of a significant enlargement. The additional accommodation proposed for Mobberley CE Primary would increase the capacity by more than 30 pupils and by more than 25%.</li> </ul>				
		• The Local Authority must comply with statutory requirements as set out in The Education and Inspections Act 2006 (EIA 2006) and The School Organisation (Prescribed Alterations to Maintained Schools)(England) Regulations 2007 (as amended by The School Organisation and Governance (Amendment)(England) Regulations 2007 which came into force on 21 January 2008 and The School Organisation and Governance (Amendment)(England) Regulations 2009 which came into force on 1 September 2009).				
Briefly describe the a objectives and outcor the policy / procedure function	and outcomes of addition, this will deliver a level of operational surplus for the Local Authority, which is a				ocal Authority, which is a level y times to school, some ntrants. The proposal will	

	Knutsford and in particular in the Mobberley area of this Local Area Partnership and will have, at worst, a neutral impact on vulnerable and minority groups in the Community. Pupil forecasts for the Knutsford indicate that there will be a significant shortfall of places across a number of the schools reaching a forecast shortfall of 9% (215 places) by 2017. Mobberley CE Primary is a popular and successful school resulting in the number of preferences for the school exceeding the 20 places available in the reception class for 3 of the last 4 years. For 2012 the school received 33 first preferences against a published admission number (PAN) of 20. In 2004, Ashley Primary school closed and children on roll at the time of closure were transferred to Mobberley CE (C) Primary. The catchment area for Mobberley was extended to include the area previously zoned to Ashley Primary School putting pressure on the school due to recent changes in populations. The distance to other nearby schools will seem unreasonable to local families who cannot be accommodated in their local school. Many will qualify for transport assistance being over the statutory walking distance of 2 miles. Forecasts for Mobberley CE Primary indicate that from 2012 there will be insufficient places at the school with more children on roll than places available. This trend is forecast to continue reaching a shortfall of 60 pupil places, based on current admission patterns, by 2017.
Who is intended to benefit from this policy –procedure – function?	Young Children and their parents and carers in the Knutsford area

What factors could contribute to or detract from the outcomes?	<ul> <li>Not being able to proceed to consultation and therefore add to the project timeline would affect the timeline to deliver the development by September 2013.</li> <li>Having a flawed consultation exercise which would breach the Local Authorities statutory requirements as set out above.</li> </ul>					
Who are the main stakeholders in relation to the policy – procedure- function? (Please consider key equality groups)	<ul> <li>Headteachers in schools in Knutsford</li> <li>Children, parents and carers in Cheshire East</li> </ul>					
Who is responsible for the policy – procedure – function?	Rob Hyde					
To take us forward in:						
(a) eliminating discrimination, h 2010;	(a) eliminating discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Equality Act 2010;					
(b) advancing equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;						
(c) fostering good relations betw	ween persons v	vho share a	relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it			
Please indentify any impact (Positive / Negative) this policy, procedure, function or service will have on the following protected characteristics:						
Age - Is there an impact?	Yes -	No	Comments/Actions:			
	positive		This will positively impact on the number of school places for young people at Primary school age in the Knutsford area.			

Carers – Is there an impact?	Yes - positive	No	Comments/Actions: The proposal will have a marginally positive impact on persons with dependents and will offer greater parental choice for those families with wider caring responsibilities.
Disability - Is there an impact?	Yes - positive	No	Comments/Actions: The proposal will have a marginally positive impact on young people and parents with a disability because the provision of additional places will overall provide sufficient places closer to person's place of residence. The proposal will also offer greater parental choice for those families with wider caring responsibilities for household members with a disability.
Gender	Yes	No – neutral	Comments/Actions: The Local Authority is bound by the Admissions Code and Regulations and this does not allow for any discrimination in this respect. There is an equal gender balance. Girls represent 52% of the Mobberley CE pupils with boys 48%%. This represents a similar school population demographic across Knutsford with 49% male and 51% female.
Gypsies & Travellers - Is there an impact?	Yes - positive	No	Comments/Actions: The Local Authority is bound by the Admissions Code and Regulations and this does not allow for any discrimination in this respect. However as there are no pupils identified as being from the gypsy/traveller community at either Mobberley CE Primary or and across other Knutsford schools the proposal has the potential to be slightly positive for this minority group.

Race – Is there an impact?	¥es	No - neutral	Comments/Actions: The Local Authority is bound by the Admissions Code and Regulations and this does not allow for any discrimination in this respect. Race is recorded as the following from Mobberley CE Primary school: 96% White 3% Mixed/Dual Background 1% Other or Not recorded Across all Knutsford schools the following data is recorded: 91% White 3% Mixed/Dual Background 3% Asian or Asian British 1% Black or Black British 2% Other Groups or Not recorded
Religion & Belief- Is there an Impact?	<del>Yes</del>	No – neutral	Comments/Actions: The Local Authority is bound by the Admissions Code and Regulations and this does not allow for any discrimination in this respect. The proposal is applicable to all irrespective of religious belief.
Sexual Orientation -Is there an impact?	<del>Yes</del>	No - neutral	Comments/Actions: The LA does not collect data on the sexual orientation of young

			people. However, there is no evidence to suggest the proposal will have any adverse impact on children within this group. Indeed, all of the proposals enhance equality.
Transgender - Is there an impact?	¥es	No - neutral	Comments/Actions: There is no evidence to suggest that the proposal will have any adverse impact on children/young people in terms of Transgender. Individuals who are vulnerable due to transgender may find no change.
Other socio-economic disadvantaged groups (including white individuals, families and communities) Is there an impact?	Yes - positive	No	Comments/Actions: It is considered that the proposal will have a positive impact on those children/young people included in this group because 3.8% of pupils within Wheelock school (Reception to Year 6) are eligible for free school meals. Across all Knutsford schools 9.5% are eligible.
Please give details of any other potential impacts of this policy (i.e. Poverty & deprivation, community cohesion, environmental)	Yes	No - neutral	Comments/Actions: It is considered that the proposal will have no adverse impact.
Could the impact constitute unlawful discrimination in relation to any of the Equality Duties	Yes	No	Comments: The Local Authority is bound by the Admissions Code and Regulations and this does not allow for any discrimination in this respect.

Does this policy – procedure – function have any effect on good relations between the Council and the Community	Yes	No	Comments: The proposal should be seen as a statement of confidence in the school and its future direction and engender a positive reaction from the local community.
Do you require further data/information/intelligence to support decision making?	Yes	Νο	Comments: The Impact Assessment will be reviewed at the end of the Consultation exercise but it is thought that no further intelligence or analysis will be required.
Please specify any question(s)/issues/concerns/actions identified as a result the assessment. What needs to be done?			Comments <ul> <li>There are no further concerns identified</li> </ul>

Data Methods/Collection to Suppo Please indicate what methods of research, information and intelligence will be/have been used e.g. consultation, reports, comparisons with similar organisations	<ul> <li>Internally</li> <li>Desk top research re: legislation, policies, guidance etc</li> <li>CYPD data</li> <li>Population data from internal sources</li> </ul>	Externally
Please state who will be/who was involved/engaged/consulted	<ul> <li>Internal (Staff/Members/Service/Dept)</li> <li>The Director of Children Families and Adults and SMT.</li> <li>The capital expenditure was approved</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>External (stakeholders/service users/partners)</li> <li>Formal Consultation, if approved, will be undertaken with local families</li> </ul>

	by Cabinet in February 2012 as part of the bulk budget proposals.	and the wider community including other interested parties in according with statutory procedures and DfE guidance.
Please indicate any significant expected costs & resource requirements for completing the data collection	• None	• N/A

REF	Action	Responsible Person/s	Action Deadline	Tasks	Progress
	Review the feedback and consider whether the recommendation needs to be amended.	Rob Hyde / Barbara Dale		<ul> <li>Review and amend as necessary</li> </ul>	Will take place at the end of consultation
	state the date the policy/prossessed? (generally 1-3 yrs)		Comments/Date: This decision will no	t require review.	

Signed (Service Manager)	 Date
Signed (Head of Section)	 Date

Once you have completed this section please email it to the Equality and Inclusion Team. The Equality and Inclusion Team will convene a quarterly meeting of the Fairness and Inclusion Group (FIG) who will quality check our EIA's to ensure we have considered everyone. We plan to send approximately 2-5% of our completed EIAs Forms to the (FIG).

Quarterly Progress and monitoring

REF	Action	Progress	Completed
	This decision will not require review.		

Once you have completed your quarterly progress report, please email it to the Equality and Inclusion Team

Measuring Impact & Reporting

Ref	Action	Impact	Outcome	Review Date

Once you have completed your impact report, please email it to the Equality and Inclusion Team. The Equality and Inclusion Team will prepare an annual report for Corporate Management Team and Cabinet on our progress.

Appendix 1

Service Reference Index

Service Reference Index						
Safer & Stronger – SSC	Regeneration – <b>REG</b>	Planning & Housing – PAH	Legal & Democratic Services – LAD			
Children & Families – CHI	Adults – ADU	Health & Wellbeing – HWB	Human Resources & Organisational Development – <b>HROD</b>			
Policy & Performance – PAP	Corporate Improvement - CI	Environmental – ENV	Borough Treasurer & Head of Assets – BTA			